

Message

From: Kraft, Andrew [Kraft.Andrew@epa.gov]
Sent: 4/26/2013 1:18:25 PM
To: Glenn, Barbara [Glenn.Barbara@epa.gov]
CC: Pachkowski, Brian [Pachkowski.Brian@epa.gov]; Bayliss, David [Bayliss.David@epa.gov]; Segal, Deborah [Segal.Deborah@epa.gov]; Cooper, Glinda [Cooper.Glinda@epa.gov]; Whalan, John [Whalan.John@epa.gov]; Salazar, Keith [Salazar.Keith@epa.gov]; Adams, Lynn [Adams.Lynn@epa.gov]; Kushman, Mary [Kushman.Mary@epa.gov]; Vulimiri, Suryanarayana [Vulimiri.Sury@epa.gov]; Makris, Susan [Makris.Susan@epa.gov]; Bateson, Thomas [Bateson.Thomas@epa.gov]; Jinot, Jennifer [Jinot.Jennifer@epa.gov]; Subramaniam, Ravi [Subramaniam.Ravi@epa.gov]; Kraft, Andrew [Kraft.Andrew@epa.gov]
Subject: non-cancer RfC array-- Feedback needed from team
Attachments: POD RfC plot formaldehyde 042513.xlsx

Hello all,

An update version of the non-cancer candidate RfC array has been inserted into the draft tox. Review. The source file is attached for convenience.

As we will be discussing some of these calculations/ decisions next week, if you have information relevant to this figure, can you please provide feedback?

I know to expect additional values for animal studies of reproductive effects; are there any other studies that people expect to be added for their particular section? Are any of the uncertainty factors included here incorrect? Any suggestions for improving clarity?

Thank you and have a great weekend,
Andrew